1 2	BENDAU & BENDAU PLLC Clifford P. Bendau, II (030204) Christopher J. Bendau (032981) P.O. Box 97066		
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85060 Telephone: (480) 382-5176 Facsimile: (480) 304-3805 Email: cliffordbendau@bendaulaw.com		
4			
5	chris@bendaulaw.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6			
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT C	OF ARIZONA	
9	Katherine Salgado,		
0	Plaintiff,	No.	
1	VS.	VERIFIED COMPLAINT	
12	Fitness International, LLC,		
13			
14	Defendant.		
15			
16	Plaintiff, Katherine Salgado ("Plaintif	ff" or "Katherine Salgado"), sues the	
17	Defendant Fitness International, LLC ("Defe	endant" or "Fitness International") and	
18	alleges as follows:		
19			
20	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT		
21	1. This is an action for unpaid mi	nimum wages, liquidated damages,	
22	attorneys' fees, costs, and interest under the	Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29	
23	U.S.C. § 201, et seq.; unpaid minimum wage under the Arizona Minimum Wage Act		
24	("AMWA"); and Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") Title 23, Chapter 2, Article 8.		
25	( 1111 1111 ), and 1112 one revised statutes (	1111.0. , 11110 23, Chapter 2, 1111010 0.	
26			
27			

1	2. The FLSA was enacted "to protect all covered workers from substandard		
2	wages and oppressive working hours." <u>Barrentine v. Ark Best Freight Sys. Inc.</u> , 450 U.S.		
3	728, 739 (1981). Under the FLSA, employers must pay all non-exempt employees a		
4 5	minimum wage of pay for all time spent working during their regular 40-hour		
6	workweeks. <u>See</u> 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).		
7	3. The AMWA, A.R.S § 23-363, et seq., establishes a minimum wage within		
8	the State of Arizona.		
9	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
10	4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and		
11			
12	29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. because this civil action arises under the Constitution and law of		
13	the United States. This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant 28 U.S.C. §		
14	1367 because the state law claims asserted herein are so related to claims in this action		
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction that they form part of the same case		
17	or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.		
18	5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(ii) because		
19	acts giving rise to the claims of Plaintiff occurred within the District of Arizona, and		
20			
21	Defendant regularly conduct business in and have engaged in the wrongful conduct		
22	alleged herein – and, thus, are subject to personal jurisdiction in – this judicial district.		
23	<u>PARTIES</u>		
24	6. At all times material to the matters alleged in this Complaint, Plaintiff was		
25			
26	an individual residing in Maricopa County, Arizona, and is a former employee of		
27	Defendant.		

1	7.	At all material times, Fitness International, LLC was a limited liability	
2	company du	ly licensed to transact business in the State of Arizona. At all material times,	
3	Defendant F	itness International, LLC does business, has offices, and/or maintains agents	
4 5	for the trans	action of its customary business in Maricopa County, Arizona.	
6	8.	At all relevant times, Defendant Fitness International, LLC owned and	
7	operated as	"Esporta Fitness," a chain of fitness centers doing business in Maricopa	
8	County, Aria	zona.	
9	9.	Under the FLSA, Defendant Fitness International, LLC is an employer.	
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li></ul>	The FLSA d	lefines "employer" as any person who acts directly or indirectly in the interest	
12	of an employer in relation to an employee. At all relevant times, Defendant Fitness		
13	Internationa	l, LLC had the authority to hire and fire employees, supervised and controlled	
14	work schedules or the conditions of employment, determined the rate and method of		
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	payment, and maintained employment records in connection with Plaintiff's employment		
17	with Defendant. As a person who acted in the interest of Esporta Fitness in relation to the		
18	company's employees, Defendant Fitness International, LLC is subject to liability under		
19	the FLSA.		
20	10.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant as defined	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	by the FLSA	A, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq.	
23	11.	The provisions set forth in the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., apply to	
24	Defendant.		
25		At all relevant times. Defendant was and continues to be an "employer" as	
26	12.	At all relevant times, Defendant was and continues to be an "employer" as	
27	defined by the	he FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, <i>et seq</i> .	

1	13.	The provisions set forth in the A.R.S. Title 23, Articles 7 and 8 apply to	
2	Defendant.		
3	14.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff was an "employee" of Defendant as defined	
4 5	by A.R.S. §	23-362.	
6	15.	At all relevant times, Defendant was and continues to be an "employer" of	
7	Plaintiff as defined by A.R.S. § 23-362.		
8	16.	Defendant individually and/or through an enterprise or agent, directed and	
9	exercised control over Plaintiff's work and wages at all relevant times.		
11	17.	Plaintiff, in her work for Defendant, was employed by an enterprise	
12	engaged in c	ommerce that had annual gross sales of at least \$500,000.	
13	18.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendant, was engaged in	
14	commerce or the production of goods for commerce.		
15 16	19.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff, in her work for Defendant, was engaged in	
17	interstate con	mmerce.	
18	20.	Plaintiff, in her work for Defendant, regularly handled goods produced or	
19	transported i	n interstate commerce.	
20		FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
21 22	21.	Defendant owns and/or operates as Esporta Fitness, an enterprise doing	
23			
	business in I	Maricopa County, Arizona.	
24 25	22.	Defendant Fitness International, LLC operates one or more Esporta Fitnes	
26	locations in	Maricopa County, Arizona.	

1	23.	Plaintiff was hired by Defendant in or around mid April 2022 and worked	
2	about two sh	uifts during a single workweek.	
3	24.	Plaintiff's two shifts took place on April 15, 2022 and April 18, 2022.	
4	25.	At all relevant times, Plaintiff worked for Defendant until approximately	
5	April 19, 20	22, when Defendant terminated her employment.	
6	_		
7	26.	At all relevant times, in her work for Defendant, Plaintiff worked as a front	
8	desk clerk at the Esporta Fitness located at 2325 East Baseline Road, Phoenix, Arizona		
9	85042.		
10	27.	Defendant, in its sole discretion, agreed to pay Plaintiff an hourly rate of	
11		Defendant, in its sole discretion, agreed to pay I familif an hourly face of	
12	\$12.80.		
13	28.	Upon information and belief, Plaintiff worked approximately between 10	
14	and 20 hours in her sole workweek of employment with Defendant.		
15	29.	Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for the hours	
16			
17	she spent working for Defendant during the sole workweek of her employment with		
18	Defendant.		
19	30.	On or about April 19, 2022, Defendant fired Plaintiff from her employment	
20	with Defendant.		
21	with Detend		
22	31.	During Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, she had worked using an	
23	Individual T	axpayer Identification Number ("ITIN").	
24	32.	On April 19, 2022, when Plaintiff was fired, Defendant explicitly stated	
25	that the recei		
26	that the reason for her firing was that she did not have social security number and that		
27	they would not allow her to continue working under an ITIN.		

1	33.	Plaintiff asked her supervisor, whose name was, on information and belief,	
2	Mason, when	ther she would be paid for the time she worked. In response, Mason	
3	informed Plaintiff that she would not be receiving a paycheck for the time she worked for		
4 5	Defendant.		
6	34.	When she was fired, Defendant had Plaintiff's address in its possession.	
7	35.	However, Defendant never sent Plaintiff a check for the wages she had	
8	earned.		
9 10	36.	As a result of not having paid any wage whatsoever to Plaintiff during her	
11	sole workwe	ek of employment with Defendant, Defendant failed to pay the applicable	
12	minimum wa	age to Plaintiff.	
13	37.	As a result of Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff any wage	
14 15	whatsoever l	ner final week of work, Defendant violated 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).	
16	38.	As a result of Defendant's failure to compensate Plaintiff any wage	
17			
18	39.	Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee.	
19	40.	Defendant refused and/or failed to properly disclose to or apprise Plaintiff	
20	of her rights under the FLSA.		
21 22	41.	Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the FLSA.	
23	42.	Defendant refused and/or failed to properly disclose to or apprise Plaintiff	
24	of her rights	under the FLSA.	
25	43.		
26		Defendant individually and/or through an enterprise or agent, directed and	
27	exercised co	ntrol over Plaintiff's work and wages at all relevant times.	

1	44.	Due to Defendant's illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover	
2	from Defend	dant compensation for unpaid minimum wages, an additional amount equal	
3	amount as liquidated damages, interest, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs of this		
4 5	action under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).		
6	45.	Due to Defendant's illegal wage practices, Plaintiff is entitled to recover	
7	from Defend	dant compensation for unpaid wages, an additional amount equal to twice the	
8	unpaid minimum wages as liquidated damages, interest, and reasonable attorney's fees		
9	and costs of this action under A.R.S § 23-363.		
<ul><li>10</li><li>11</li></ul>		COUNT ONE: FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE	
12	46.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all	
13			
14	preceding pa	aragraphs.	
15	47.	As a result of not paying Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for the hours she	
16	worked in h	er sole workweek of employment, Defendant failed or refused to pay Plaintiff	
17	the FLSA-mandated minimum wage.		
18 19	48.	Defendant's practice of failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required	
20	minimum w	age rate violated the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a).	
21	49.	Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	minimum w	age at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional equal amount as	
24	liquidated da	amages, together with interest, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.	
25	WHI	EREFORE, Plaintiff, Katherine Salgado, respectfully requests that this Court	
26	grant the fol	lowing relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendant:	

1	A.	For the Court to declare and find that the Defendant violated minimum
2		wage provisions of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a) by failing to pay proper
3		minimum wages;
4	В.	For the Court to award Plaintiff's unpaid minimum wage damages, to be
5		determined at trial;
6	C.	For the Court to award compensatory damages, including liquidated
7	C.	
8		damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), to be determined at trial;
9	D.	For the Court to award prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
11	E.	For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the
12		action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and all other causes of action set
13		forth herein;
14	F.	Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.
15		COUNT TWO: ARIZONA MINIMUM WAGE ACT
16		FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE
17 18	50.	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all
10	preceding pa	ragraphs.
20	51.	As a result of not paying Plaintiff any wage whatsoever for the hours she
21	worked in he	er sole workweek of employment, Defendant failed or refused to pay Plaintiff
22		
23	the Arizona minimum wage.	
24	52.	Defendant's practice of failing or refusing to pay Plaintiff at the required
25	minimum wa	age rate violated the AMWA, 23-363.
26		

1	53.	Plaintiff is therefore entitled to compensation for the full applicable	
2	minimum w	age at an hourly rate, to be proven at trial, plus an additional amount equal to	
3	twice the un	derpaid wages as liquidated damages, together with interest, reasonable	
4 5	attorney's fe	ees, and costs.	
6	WHI	EREFORE, Plaintiff, Katherine Salgado, respectfully requests that this Court	
7	grant the following relief in Plaintiff's favor, and against Defendant:		
8	A.	For the Court to declare and find that the Defendant violated minimum	
9		wage provisions of the AMWA, A.R.S. § 23-363 by failing to pay proper	
10		minimum wages;	
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li></ul>	В.	For the Court to award Plaintiff's unpaid minimum wage damages, to be	
13	_,	determined at trial;	
14			
15	C.	For the Court to award compensatory damages, including liquidated	
16		damages pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-364, to be determined at trial;	
17	D.	For the Court to award prejudgment and post-judgment interest;	
18	E.	For the Court to award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the	
19		action pursuant to A.R.S. § 23-364 and all other causes of action set forth	
20			
21		herein;	
22	F.	Such other relief as this Court shall deem just and proper.	
23		JURY TRIAL DEMAND	
24	Plain	tiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.	
25			
26	RESI	PECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 10th day of May, 2022.	

## Case 2:22-cv-00797-SRB Document 1 Filed 05/10/22 Page 10 of 10

1	BENDAU & BENDAU PLLC
2	By: /s/ Clifford P. Bendau, II
3	Clifford P. Bendau, II Christopher J. Bendau
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	
6	
7	VEDIEICATION
8	<u>VERIFICATION</u>
9	Plaintiff, Katherine Salgado, declares under penalty of perjury that she has read
10	the foregoing Verified Complaint and is familiar with the contents thereof. The matters
11	asserted therein are true and based on her personal knowledge, except as to those matters
<ul><li>12</li><li>13</li></ul>	stated upon information and belief, and, as to those matters, she believes them to be true.
14	
15	Katherine Salgado (May 10, 2022 16:19 PDT)
16	Katherine Salgado
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	